

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE •	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/668,045	09/22/2003	Ying Chau	0492611-0505 (MIT 9991 US	7299
	7590 03/12/2007 LL & STEWART LLP		EXAMINER	
TWO INTERNATIONAL PL	ATIONAL PLACE		ROGERS, JAMES WILLIAM	
BOSTON, MA	.02110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/668,045	CHAU ET AL.		
Examiner initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit		
• ·	James W. Rogers, Ph.D.	1618		
All Participants:	Status of Application:			
(1) James W. Rogers, Ph.D.	(3)			
(2) <u>Charles E. Lyon, Ph.D.</u> .	(4)	·		
Date of Interview: 27 December 2007	Time: <u>3:00 PM</u>			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	int's representative)			
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:	·			
Claims discussed: All pertinent				
Prior art documents discussed:				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:		
Part III.	· ·			
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 				
		`		
1 run				
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Rogers after consulting with his supervisor Mike Hartley and William Dixon contacted Dr. Lyon, the agent of record for applicants of case number 10/668,045 to request filing a supplemental amendment to the specification to include Appendix A, which was received by the office but was not scanned and is now missing: Dr. Lyon already supplied the examiner with a copy of the appendix by fax that the examiner used the copy for support of the newly amended claims filed 12/18/2006.